November 15, 2006

Governor Jon Corzine PO Box 001 Trenton, NJ 08625

Dear Governor Corzine:

Sea Isle City (SIC) is a barrier beach located in Cape May County (CMC), New Jersey. The north end residents of Sea Isle City remain on septic (above and below ground) systems yet all other SIC residents are tied in to the public sewer system. The State of New Jersey, Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) refused to sign-off on the original Water Quality Management (208) Plan until SIC's north end and Strathmere were removed from the Plan. We remain ineligible for sewers; based upon the biased position taken by our State of NJ DEP. Our city governance and CMC fully support the sewer extension. The public sewer system (MUA) can handle the extension basically because the north end and Strathmere were included in the original (208) Plan. Recent communication with the MUA confirms their support of the sewer extension.

In CY 2006-2007 it is absolutely inconceivable that SIC's is not 100% on public sewage because of the water table / tidal flows along the barrier beach. Drainage with septics (above and below ground) particularly during storms, high tides and heavy rains is problematic. Human waste is a known pollutant; however, the DEP is more concerned about the potential for increased development at the north end of Sea Isle City rather than readily eliminating a known pollutant. We have only 17 undeveloped privately-owned residential properties in the north end, far from the number that would constitute urban sprawl or overdevelopment. Furthermore, all coastal development is in the control of the DEP relative to permits, building constraints, tidelands, etc. Because our community is not fully on public sewers, FEMA will not offer SIC their 15-20% insurance discount program as realized by our surrounding beach communities.

Your concerned constituents in SIC, in conjunction with our city officials, plan to move toward revisiting this matter with the DEP / LURP / CAFRA. We would like to incorporate your support in this effort. We are calling upon all of our elected officials to work with us toward approval of SIC's north end sewer extension by way of an amendment to the (208) Water Plan and application acceptance by DEP / CAFRA for our inclusion in the public sewer system. Thank you.

Sincerely,

c:

Kathleen A. Jamison Concerned Citizen – SIC

NORTH and NEWT

SICTA

Mayor / Board of Commissioners SIC

November 15, 2006

Richard Codey, President of Senate 49 Mount Pleasant Avenue West Orange, NJ 07052

Dear President Codey:

Sea Isle City (SIC) is a barrier beach located in Cape May County (CMC), New Jersey. The north end residents of Sea Isle City remain on septic (above and below ground) systems yet all other SIC residents are tied in to the public sewer system. The State of New Jersey, Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) refused to sign-off on the original Water Quality Management (208) Plan until SIC's north end and Strathmere were removed from the Plan. We remain ineligible for sewers; based upon the biased position taken by our State of NJ DEP. Our city governance and CMC fully support the sewer extension. The public sewer system (MUA) can handle the extension basically because the north end and Strathmere were included in the original (208) Plan. Recent communication with the MUA confirms their support of the sewer extension.

In CY 2006-2007 it is absolutely inconceivable that SIC's is not 100% on public sewage because of the water table / tidal flows along the barrier beach. Drainage with septics (above and below ground) particularly during storms, high tides and heavy rains is problematic. Human waste is a known pollutant; however, the DEP is more concerned about the potential for increased development at the north end of Sea Isle City rather than readily eliminating a known pollutant. We have only 17 undeveloped privately-owned residential properties in the north end, far from the number that would constitute urban sprawl or overdevelopment. Furthermore, all coastal development is in the control of the DEP relative to permits, building constraints, tidelands, etc. Because our community is not fully on public sewers, FEMA will not offer SIC their 15-20% insurance discount program as realized by our surrounding beach communities.

The concerned citizens of SIC, in conjunction with our city officials, plan to move toward revisiting this matter with the DEP / LURP / CAFRA. We would like to incorporate your support in this effort. We are calling upon all of our elected officials to work with us toward approval of SIC's north end sewer extension by way of an amendment to the (208) Water Plan and application acceptance by DEP / CAFRA for our inclusion in the public sewer system. Thank you.

Sincerely,

c:

Káthleen A. Jamison Concerned Citizen – SIC

NORTH, NEWT, SICTA

November 15, 2006

Speaker Joseph Roberts Jr. General Assembly Route 130 & Browning Road Brooklawn, NJ 08030

Dear Speaker Roberts:

The north end residents of Sea Isle City remain on septic (above and below ground) systems yet all other SIC residents are tied in to the public sewer system. The State of New Jersey, Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) refused to sign-off on the original Water Quality Management (208) Plan until SIC's north end and Strathmere were removed from the Plan. We remain ineligible for sewers; based upon the biased position taken by our State of NJ DEP. Our city governance and CMC fully support the sewer extension. The public sewer system (MUA) can handle the extension basically because the north end and Strathmere were included in the original (208) Plan. Recent communication with the MUA confirms their support of the sewer extension.

In CY 2006-2007 it is absolutely inconceivable that SIC's is not 100% on public sewage because of the water table / tidal flows along the barrier beach. Drainage with septics (above and below ground) particularly during storms, high tides and heavy rains is problematic. Human waste is a known pollutant; however, the DEP is more concerned about the potential for increased development at the north end of Sea Isle City rather than readily eliminating a known pollutant. We have only 17 undeveloped privately-owned residential properties in the north end, far from the number that would constitute urban sprawl or overdevelopment. Furthermore, all coastal development is in the control of the DEP relative to permits, building constraints, tidelands, etc. Because our community is not fully on public sewers, FEMA will not offer SIC their 15-20% insurance discount program as realized by our surrounding beach communities.

The concerned citizens of SIC, in conjunction with our city officials, plan to move toward revisiting this matter with the DEP / LURP / CAFRA. We would like to incorporate your support in this effort. We are calling upon all of our elected officials to work with us toward approval of SIC's north end sewer extension by way of an amendment to the (208) Water Plan and application acceptance by DEP / CAFRA for our inclusion in the public sewer system. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathleen A. Jamison Concerned Citizen – SIC

c: NORTH, NEWT, SICTA

November 15, 2006

Senator Nicholas Asselta Main Tree Commons 1450 East Chestnut Avenue Building 1, Suite B Vineland, NJ 08361-8471

Dear Senator Asselta:

Sea Isle City (SIC) is a barrier beach located in Cape May County (CMC), New Jersey. The north end residents of Sea Isle City remain on septic (above and below ground) systems yet all other SIC residents are tied in to the public sewer system. The State of New Jersey, Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) refused to sign-off on the original Water Quality Management (208) Plan until SIC's north end and Strathmere were removed from the Plan. We remain ineligible for sewers; based upon the biased position taken by our State of NJ DEP. Our city governance and CMC fully support the sewer extension. The public sewer system (MUA) can handle the extension basically because the north end and Strathmere were included in the original (208) Plan. Recent communication with the MUA confirms their support of the sewer extension.

In CY 2006-2007 it is absolutely inconceivable that SIC's is not 100% on public sewage because of the water table / tidal flows along the barrier beach. Drainage with septics (above and below ground) particularly during storms, high tides and heavy rains is problematic. Human waste is a known pollutant; however, the DEP is more concerned about the potential for increased development at the north end of Sea Isle City rather than readily eliminating a known pollutant. We have only 17 undeveloped privately-owned residential properties in the north end, far from the number that would constitute urban sprawl or overdevelopment. Furthermore, all coastal development is in the control of the DEP relative to permits, building constraints, tidelands, etc. Because our community is not fully on public sewers, FEMA will not offer SIC their 15-20% insurance discount program as realized by our surrounding beach communities.

Your concerned constituents in SIC, in conjunction with our city officials, plan to move toward revisiting this matter with the DEP / LURP / CAFRA. We would like to incorporate your support in this effort. We are calling upon all of our elected officials to work with us toward approval of SIC's north end sewer extension by way of an amendment to the (208) Water Plan and application acceptance by DEP / CAFRA for our inclusion in the public sewer system. Thank you.

Sincerely,

c:

Kathleen A. Jamison

Concerned Citizen - SIC

November 15, 2006

Assemblyman Nelson T. Albano 21 North Main Street Cape May Court House, NJ 08210

Dear Assemblyman Albano:

The north end residents of Sea Isle City remain on septic (above and below ground) systems yet all other SIC residents are tied in to the public sewer system. The State of New Jersey, Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) refused to sign-off on the original Water Quality Management (208) Plan until SIC's north end and Strathmere were removed from the Plan. We remain ineligible for sewers; based upon the biased position taken by our State of NJ DEP. Our city governance and CMC fully support the sewer extension. The public sewer system (MUA) can handle the extension basically because the north end and Strathmere were included in the original (208) Plan. Recent communication with the MUA confirms their support of the sewer extension.

In CY 2006-2007 it is absolutely inconceivable that SIC's is not 100% on public sewage because of the water table / tidal flows along the barrier beach. Drainage with septics (above and below ground) particularly during storms, high tides and heavy rains is problematic. Human waste is a known pollutant; however, the DEP is more concerned about the potential for increased development at the north end of Sea Isle City rather than readily eliminating a known pollutant. We have only 17 undeveloped privately-owned residential properties in the north end, far from the number that would constitute urban sprawl or overdevelopment. Furthermore, all coastal development is in the control of the DEP relative to permits, building constraints, tidelands, etc. Because our community is not fully on public sewers, FEMA will not offer SIC their 15-20% insurance discount program as realized by our surrounding beach communities.

The concerned citizens of SIC, in conjunction with our city officials, plan to move toward revisiting this matter with the DEP / LURP / CAFRA. We would like to incorporate your support in this effort. We are calling upon all of our elected officials to work with us toward approval of SIC's north end sewer extension by way of an amendment to the (208) Water Plan and application acceptance by DEP / CAFRA for our inclusion in the public sewer system. Thank you.

Sincerely,

c:

Kathleen A. Jamišon Concerned Citizen – SIC

NORTH, NEWT, SICTA

November 15, 2006

Assemblyman Jeff Van Drew 21 North Main Street Cape May Court House, NJ 08210

Dear Assemblyman Van Drew:

The north end residents of Sea Isle City remain on septic (above and below ground) systems yet all other SIC residents are tied in to the public sewer system. The State of New Jersey, Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) refused to sign-off on the original Water Quality Management (208) Plan until SIC's north end and Strathmere were removed from the Plan. We remain ineligible for sewers; based upon the biased position taken by our State of NJ DEP. Our city governance and CMC fully support the sewer extension. The public sewer system (MUA) can handle the extension basically because the north end and Strathmere were included in the original (208) Plan. Recent communication with the MUA confirms their support of the sewer extension.

In CY 2006-2007 it is absolutely inconceivable that SIC's is not 100% on public sewage because of the water table / tidal flows along the barrier beach. Drainage with septics (above and below ground) particularly during storms, high tides and heavy rains is problematic. Human waste is a known pollutant; however, the DEP is more concerned about the potential for increased development at the north end of Sea Isle City rather than readily eliminating a known pollutant. We have only 17 undeveloped privately-owned residential properties in the north end, far from the number that would constitute urban sprawl or overdevelopment. Furthermore, all coastal development is in the control of the DEP relative to permits, building constraints, tidelands, etc. Because our community is not fully on public sewers, FEMA will not offer SIC their 15-20% insurance discount program as realized by our surrounding beach communities.

The concerned citizens of SIC, in conjunction with our city officials, plan to move toward revisiting this matter with the DEP / LURP / CAFRA. We would like to incorporate your support in this effort. We are calling upon all of our elected officials to work with us toward approval of SIC's north end sewer extension by way of an amendment to the (208) Water Plan and application acceptance by DEP / CAFRA for our inclusion in the public sewer system. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathleen A. Jamison Concerned Citizen – SIC

NORTH, NEWT, SICTA